

BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

MADNESS THE NATURE TEXTILE COMPANY GMbH

this report covers the evaluation period 01-01-2015 to 31-12-2015

ABOUT THE BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK

Fair Wear Foundation believes that improving conditions for apparel factory workers requires change at many levels. Traditional efforts to improve conditions focus primarily on the factory. FWF, however, believes that the management decisions of clothing brands have an enormous influence for good or ill on factory conditions.

FWF's Brand Performance Check is a tool to evaluate and report on the activities of FWF's affiliate members. The Checks examine how affiliate management systems support FWF's Code of Labour Practices. They evaluate the parts of affiliate supply chains where clothing is assembled. This is the most labour intensive part of garment supply chains, and where brands can have the most influence over working conditions.

In most apparel supply chains, clothing brands do not own factories, and most factories work for many different brands. This means that in most cases FWF affiliates have influence, but not direct control, over working conditions. As a result, the Brand Performance Checks focus primarily on verifying the efforts of affiliates. Outcomes at the factory level are assessed via audits and complaint reports, however the complexity of the supply chains means that even the best efforts of FWF affiliates cannot guarantee results.

Even if outcomes at the factory level cannot be guaranteed, the importance of good management practices by affiliates cannot be understated. Even one concerned customer at a factory can have significant positive impacts on a range of issues like health and safety conditions or freedom of association. And if one customer at a factory can demonstrate that improvements are possible, other customers no longer have an excuse not to act. The development and sharing of these types of best practices has long been a core part of FWF's work.

The Brand Performance Check system is designed to accommodate the range of structures and strengths that different companies have, and reflects the different ways that brands can support better working conditions.

This report is based on interviews with affiliate employees who play important roles in the management of supply chains, and a variety of documentation sources, financial records, supplier data. The findings from the Brand Performance Check are summarized and published at www.fairwear.org. The online Brand Performance Check Guide provides more information about the indicators.

BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK OVERVIEW

MADNESS THE NATURE TEXTILE COMPANY GmbH

Evaluation Period: 01-01-2015 to 31-12-2015

AFFILIATE INFORMATION	
Headquarters:	Welle-Kampen, Germany
Member since:	01-08-2014
Product types:	Fashion
Production in countries where FWF is active:	India
Production in other countries:	n/a
BASIC REQUIREMENTS	
Workplan and projected production location data for upcoming year have been submitted?	Yes
Actual production location data for evaluation period was submitted?	Yes
Membership fee has been paid?	Yes
All suppliers have been notified of FWF membership?	Yes
SCORING OVERVIEW	
% of own production under monitoring	93%
Benchmarking score	71
Category	Good

Summary:

MADNESS THE NATURE TEXTILE COMPANY GmbH (MADNESS) meets most of FWFs management system requirements. 93% of its production is under monitoring, which exceeds the requirement of second year members.

MADNESS sources 93% of its products at its main supplier, where they have a high leverage of 95% and visit frequently. This allows MADNESS to effectively work on improving working conditions. At the end of 2014 an audit took place at this supplier. During 2015, MADNESS focused on addressing the findings from the audit and follow-up on the corrective action plan (CAP). In 2015 a Workplace Education Programme took place at this supplier to enhance communication between workers and management and to address gender based violence.

For 2016 FWF has scheduled a verification audit to check the progress and improvements.

In addition, MADNESS did a thorough analysis of its production process to identify the root cause of excessive overtime. They made some positive steps to ensure wage records are kept. FWF recommends that MADNESS should ensure follow-up and meaningful steps towards higher wage benchmarks in 2016.

PERFORMANCE CATEGORY OVERVIEW

Leader: This category is for affiliates who are doing exceptionally well, and are operating at an advanced level. Leaders show best practices in complex areas such as living wages and freedom of association.

Good: It is FWF's belief that affiliates who are making a serious effort to implement the Code of Labour Practices—the vast majority of FWF affiliates—are 'doing good' and deserve to be recognized as such. They are also doing more than the average clothing company, and have allowed their internal processes to be examined and publicly reported on by an independent NGO. The majority of affiliates will receive a 'Good' rating.

Needs Improvement: Affiliates are most likely to find themselves in this category when major unexpected problems have arisen, or if they are unable or unwilling to seriously work towards CoLP implementation. Affiliates may be in this category for one year only after which they should either move up to Good, or will be moved to suspended.

Suspended: Affiliates who either fail to meet one of the Basic Requirements, have had major internal changes which means membership must be put on hold for a maximum of one year, or have been in Needs Improvement for more than one year. Affiliates may remain in this category for one year maximum, after which termination proceedings will come into force.

Categories are calculated based on a combination of benchmarking score and the percentage of own production under monitoring. The specific requirements for each category are outlined in the Brand Performance Check Guide.

1. PURCHASING PRACTICES

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
1.1 Percentage of production volume from suppliers where affiliate buys at least 10% of production capacity	93%	Affiliates with less than 10% of a factories' production capacity generally have limited influence on factory managers to make changes.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	4	4	0

Comment: MADNESS has more than 90% leverage at their main supplier. For a few activities the company is dependent on subcontractors. All subcontractors need to be GOTS certified. MADNESS has little leverage at these subcontractors.

1.2 Percentage of production volume from suppliers where a business relationship has existed for at least five years	0%	Stable business relationships support most aspects of the Code of Labour Practices, and give factories a reason to invest in improving working conditions.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	0	4	0	
--	----	--	---	---	---	---	--

Comment: Production at the main supplier started in 2011. MADNESS plans to continue this partnership.

1.3 All new suppliers are required to sign and return the Code of Labour Practices before first orders are placed.	No new suppliers	The CoLP is the foundation of all work between factories and brands, and the first step in developing a commitment to	Signed CoLPs are on file.	N/A	2	0
		improvements.				

Comment: No new suppliers were added in 2015.

1.4 Company conducts human rights due diligence at all new suppliers before placing orders.	No new suppliers	Due diligence helps to identify, prevent and mitigate potential human rights problems at new suppliers.	Documentation may include pre-audits, existing audits, other types of risk	N/A	4	0
			assessments.			

Recommendation: FWF recommends MADNESS to be cautious when selecting a new supplier, not only relying on other FWF members sourcing from a factory but taking an active approach in addressing human rights.

Comment: In 2015, the search for a new supplier for order in 2016 and onward. For this process the GOTS certification is the first selection criterion. Next, MADNESS checked whether the factory could supply the quality they were looking for. The fact that the factory was also supplying other FWF members guided the final decision for a specific supplier.

1.5 Supplier compliance with Code of Labour Practices is evaluated in a systematic manner.	A systemic approach is required to integrate social compliance into normal business processes, and supports good decisionmaking.	Documentation of systemic approach: rating systems, checklists, databases, etc.	1 :	2	0
--	--	---	-----	---	---

Comment: MADNESS regularly evaluates the progress of its Indian supplier regarding CoLP compliance. Since it is their only supplier, it is difficult for MADNESS to reward this with larger order volumes.

1.6 The affiliate's production planning	General or	Affiliate production planning systems can	Documentation of	2	4	0	
systems support reasonable working hours.	ad-hoc	have a significant impact on the levels of	robust planning				
	system.	excessive overtime at factories.	systems.				

Recommendation: FWF recommends MADNESS to consider the production time per garment in order to schedule production.

Comment: MADNESS has revised its production planning in 2015, to allow more time for production. In addition they have analysed the production process to see where possible errors can lead to overtime at their supplier and what they can do to reduce this. It is expected that this will lead to a stronger system in 2016.

S S	Intermediate efforts	Some production delays are outside of the control of affiliates; however there are a number of steps that can be taken to address production delays without resorting to excessive overtime.	Documentation of root cause analysis and positive steps taken to manage production delays or improve factory	3	6	0
			processes.			

Recommendation: FWF recommends MADNESS to ensure that measures to mitigate and prevent excessive overtime are implemented at their main supplier in 2016 based on the analysis done in 2015.

Comment: MADNESS has made a thorough analysis of the root causes of excessive overtime in the entire production planning. This is described in the work plan 2016, which is shared on their website. This analysis has been shared with all colleagues and the main supplier. In 2016 MADNESS plans to discuss the analysis with the main supplier and agree on follow-up activities to mitigate excessive overtime.

1.8 Affiliate's pricing policy allows for payment of at least the legal minimum wages in production countries.	Country-level policy	The first step towards ensuring the payment of minimum wages - and towards implementation of living wages - is to know the labour costs of garments.	Formal systems to calculate labour costs on per-product or country/city level.	2	4	0
1.9 Affiliate actively responds if suppliers fail to pay legal minimum wages.	No minimum wage problems reported	If a supplier fails to pay minimum wage, FWF affiliates are expected to hold management of the supplier accountable for respecting local labour law.	Complaint reports, CAPs, additional emails, FWF audit reports or other documents that show minimum wage issue is reported/resolved.	2	2	-2

Comment: In 2015 there have been no minimum wage problems reported.

1.10 Evidence of late payments to suppliers by affiliate.	No	Late payments to suppliers can have a negative impact on factories and their ability to pay workers on time. Most garment workers have minimal savings, and even a brief delay	Based on a complaint or audit report; review of factory and affiliate financial	0	0	-1	
		in payments can cause serious problems.	documents.				

Comment: MADNESS pays its supplier in installments during the production process. Starting once the order has been placed and ending when the products are received. No delayed payments have been reported.

1.11 Degree to which affiliate assesses root causes of wages lower than living wages with suppliers and takes steps towards the implementation of living wages.	Basic approach	Sustained progress towards living wages requires adjustments to affiliates' policies.	Documentation of policy assessments and/or concrete progress towards living wages.	2	8	0	
---	-------------------	---	--	---	---	---	--

Comment: With its main supplier MADNESS is discussing wages and possibilities of moving towards living wage. During the audit in 2014 it turned out the factory did not have any wage records. In 2015 MADNESS received confirmation and proof that wage records were kept and that all workers earned a wage above legal minimum wage. As a next step, MADNESS wants to get insight in what would be a possible living wage and how MADNESS and the factory can achieve that.

1.12 Affiliate sources from an FWF factory member.	No	When possible, FWF encourages affiliates to source from FWF factory members. On account of the small number of factories this is a 'bonus' indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an affiliate's score.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	N/A	1	0
--	----	---	---	-----	---	---

1.13 Percentage of production volume from factories owned by the affiliate.	Owning a supplier increases the accountability and reduces the risk of unexpected CoLP violations. Given these advantages, this is a bonus indicator. Extra points are possible, but the indicator will not negatively affect an affiliate's score.	Supplier information provided by affiliate.	N/A	2	0	Ĭ
---	---	---	-----	---	---	---

PURCHASING PRACTICES

Possible Points: 34

2. MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
% of own production under standard monitoring (excluding low-risk countries)	93%	
% of own production in low risk production countries where FWF's Low Risk policy has been implemented	0%	FWF low risk policy should be implemented. 0 = policy is not implemented correctly. N/A = no production in low risk countries.
Total of own production under monitoring	93%	Minimums: 1 year: 40%; 2 years 60%; 3 years+: 90% Measured as a percentage of turnover.

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
2.1 Specific staff person is designated to follow up on problems identified by monitoring system	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	2	2	-2

Comment: The project manager is responsible to follow up on problems identified by the monitoring system.

2.2 Degree of progress towards resolution of existing Corrective Action Plans	Intermediate	FWF considers efforts to resolve CAPs to be one of the most important things that affiliates can do towards improving working	Documentation of remediation and followup actions	4	8	-2
		conditions.	taken by affiliate.			

Comment: In 2015, MADNESS followed up on the Corrective Action Plan and could show during the Brand Performance Check that almost all findings had been remediated. FWF will verify those improvements at a verification audit in 2016.

2.3 Percentage of production volume from suppliers that have been visited by the affiliate in the past financial year	97%	Formal audits should be augmented by annual visits by affiliate staff or local representatives. They reinforce to factory managers that affiliates are serious about implementing the Code of Labour Practices.	Affiliates should document all factory visits with at least the date and name of the visitor.	4	4	0	
---	-----	---	---	---	---	---	--

Comment: In 2015 MADNESS visited its supplier and most of the subcontractors twice.

2.4 Existing audit reports from other sources are collected.	No existing reports/all audits by FWF or FWF	Existing reports form a basis for understanding the issues and strengths of a supplier, and reduces duplicative work.	file; evidence of followup on prior CAPs. Reports of	3	0	
	affiliate		quality assessments.			

Comment: MADNESS is GOTS certified. This certification requires all actors in the supply chain to be certified as well. MADNESS receives the certificate of its supplier and all subcontractors to ensure it meets the certification requirements, but no full audit reports are available for review and follow-up.

meeting, etc.

Comment: After the audit in 2014 the CAP and Audit Report were shared with the supplier. The project manager has done immediate follow-up via e-mail and telephone. During the visits in 2015 the general manager discussed the items of the CAP and took photos of resolved issues.

2.6 High risk issues specific to the affiliate's supply chain are identified and addressed by the monitoring system.	Intermediate Capacity	Different countries and products have different risks associated with them; monitoring systems should be adapated to allow appropriate human rights due diligence for the specific risks in each affiliates' supply chain.	Documentation may take many forms; additional research, specific FWF project participation; extra monitoring activities, extra mitigation activities, etc.	6	0
--	--------------------------	--	--	---	---

Comment: MADNESS has read FWF's country study for India and is aware of common issues such as excessive overtime, illegal termination of workers as well as gender based violence. In 2015, they organised a WEP training to address gender based violence and install a worker committee. For the decision to source from a new supplier, its adherence to FWF CoLP was a decisive factor.

2.6a High risk issues specific to Bangladesh are identified and adressed by the monitoring system and remediation activities.	Not sourcing in Bangladesh	Affiliates sourcing in Bangladesh should take additional action to address both building and fire safety and the prevention of violence against women.	Building, electrical and fire safety inspection reports, evidence of cooperation with other customers sourcing at the same factories (Accord signatories and/or FWF affiliates), etc.	N/A	3	0
2.6b High risk issues specific to Myanmar are identified and adressed by the monitoring system and remediation activities.	Not sourcing in Myanmar	Myanmar is still in the process of establishing the legal and civil society infrastructure needed to ensure compliance with labour rights. Extra care must be taken when doing business in Myanmar.	Shared CAPs, Wage Ladders per factory.	N/A	3	0

2.7 Affiliate cooperates with other customers in resolving corrective actions at shared	No CAPs active or no	Cooperation between customers increases leverage and chances of successful outcomes.	Shared CAPs, evidence of	N/A	2	-1
suppliers	shared suppliers.	Cooperation also reduces the changes of a factory having to conduct multiple Corrective Action Plans about the same issue with multiple customers.	cooperation with other customers.			

Comment: The main supplier is not shared with other FWF members.

2.8 Monitoring requirements are fulfilled for production in low-risk countries	No production in lowrisk countries	Low risk countries are determined by the presence and proper functioning of institutions which can guarantee compliance with basic standards.	Documentation of visits, notification of suppliers of FWF membership; posting of worker information sheets, completed questionnaires.	N/A	2	0
2.9 External brands resold by the affiliate who have completed and returned the external brand questionnaire. (% of external sales volume)	No external brands resold	FWF believes it is important for affiliates that have a retail/wholesale arm to at least know if the brands they resell are members of FWF or a similar organisation, and in which countries those brands produce goods.	Questionnaires are on file.	N/A	3	0
2.10 External brands resold by affiliates that are members of another credible initiative. (% of external sales volume)	No external brands resold	FWF believes affiliates who resell products should be rewarded for choosing to stock external brands who also take their supply chain responsibilities seriously.	External production data in FWF's information management system. Documentation of sales volumes of products made by FWF or FLA members.	N/A	3	0

MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

Possible Points: 22

3. COMPLAINTS HANDLING

BASIC MEASUREMENTS	RESULT	COMMENTS
Number of worker complaints received since last check	2	At this point, FWF considers a high number of complaints as a positive indicator, as it shows that workers are aware of and making use of the complaints system.
Number of worker complaints in process of being resolved	0	
Number of worker complaints resolved since last check	2	

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
3.1 A specific employee has been designated to address worker complaints	Yes	Followup is a serious part of FWF membership, and cannot be successfully managed on an ad-hoc basis.	Manuals, emails, etc., demonstrating who the designated staff person is.	1	1	-1

Comment: The project manager is responsible to address and resolve worker complaints.

3.2 System exists to check that the Worker Information Sheet is a key first step in alerting workers to their rights.	Photos by company staff, audit reports, checklists from factory visits, etc.	2	2	0	
---	--	---	---	---	--

Comment: During the factory visits the posting of the worker information sheet is checked and photographed.

3.3 Percentage of FWF-audited factories where at least half of workers are aware of the FWF worker helpline.	100%	The FWF complaints procedure is a crucial element of verification. If factory-based complaint systems do not exist or do not work, the FWF worker helpline allows workers to ask questions about their rights and file complaints. Factory participation in the Workplace Education Programme also count towards this indicator.	Percentage of audited factories where at least 50% of interviewed workers indicate awareness of the FWF complaints mechanism + percentage of factories in WEP programme.	4	4	-2
--	------	--	--	---	---	----

Comment: In 2015 a WEP training took place at the main supplier. During this meeting more than half of the workers were present.

3.4 All complaints received from factory workers are addressed in accordance with the FWF Complaints Procedure	Yes + Preventive steps taken	Providing access to remedy when problems arise is a key element of responsible supply chain management. Affiliate involvement is often essential to resolving issues.	Documentation that affiliate has completed all required steps in the complaints handling process.	6	6	-2	
--	------------------------------------	---	---	---	---	----	--

Comment: Both complaints in 2015 were also related to excessive overtime. Therefore MADNESS made a thorough analysis of the root causes of excessive overtime in the entire production process and shared this analysis with its main supplier, where the complaints occured.

3.5 Cooperation with other customers in addressing worker complaints at shared suppliers	No complaints or cooperation not possible / necessary.	customers by the FWF affiliate can be critical	Documentation of joint efforts, e.g. emails, sharing of complaint data, etc.	N/A	2	-2	
--	--	--	--	-----	---	----	--

Comment: There was no cooperation possible.

COMPLAINTS HANDLING

Possible Points: 13

Earned Points: 13

Additional comments on Complaints Handling:

In 2015 two complaints were filed and remediation took place. The last complaint awaits a FWF verification audit to be closed.

4. TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
4.1 All staff is made aware of FWF membership requirements	Yes	Preventing and remediating problems often requires the involvement of many different departments; making all staff aware of FWF membership requirements helps to support cross-departmental collaboration when needed.	Emails, trainings, presentation, newsletters, etc.	1	1	-1

Comment: MADNESS has 4 permanent staff members and some freelance staff. Information regarding FWF is shared on a regular basis, in an informal way. The FWF work plan was shared with all staff members.

4.2 Ongoing training in support of FWF requirements is provided to staff in direct contact with suppliers.	Yes	Sourcing, purchasing and CSR staff at a minimum should possess the knowledge necessary to implement FWF requirements and advocate for change within their organisations.	FWF Seminars or equivalent trainings provided; presentations, curricula, etc.	2	2	0	
--	-----	--	---	---	---	---	--

Recommendation: A training session on labour standards can be held for purchasing staff. FWF can support or facilitate in providing trainings. In addition, it is recommended to actively take part in training opportunities FWF offers such as: FWF seminars, the FWF annual conference and webinars.

Comment: MADNESS' project manager responsible for sourcing and CSR participated in FWF's affiliate training. The general manager, who usually visits the suppliers and makes final sourcing decisions, has not received training by FWF, but is briefed by the project manager before each visit.

4.3 All sourcing contractors/agents are informed about FWF's Code of Labour Practices.	Affiliate does not use agents	Agents have the potential to either support or disrupt CoLP implementation. It is the responsibility of affiliate to ensure agents actively support the implementation of the CoLP.	Correspondence with agents, trainings for agents, FWF audit findings.	N/A	2	-2	_
--	-------------------------------------	---	---	-----	---	----	---

4.4 Factory participation in Workplace Education Programme (where WEP is offered; by production volume)	Lack of knowledge and skills on best practices related to labour standards is acommon issue in factories. Good quality training of workers and managers is a key step towards sustainable improvements.	Documentation of relevant trainings; participation in Workplace Education Programme.	6	6	0	
---	---	--	---	---	---	--

Comment: In 2015 there was a WEP training at MADNESS' main supplier.

4.5 Factory participation in trainings (where WEP is not offered; by production volume)	All production is in WEP areas.	In areas where the Workplace Education Programme is not yet offered, affiliates may arrange trainings on their own or work with other training-partners. Trainings must meet FWF quality standards to receive credit for this indicator.	Curricula, other documentation of training content, participation and outcomes.	N/A	4	0	
---	---------------------------------------	--	---	-----	---	---	--

TRAINING AND CAPACITY BUILDING

Possible Points: 9

5. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
5.1 Level of effort to identify all production locations	Advanced	Any improvements to supply chains require affiliates to first know all of their production locations.	Supplier information provided by affiliate. Financial records of previous financial year. Documented efforts by affiliate to update supplier information from its monitoring activities.	6	6	-2

Comment: All production locations are visited several times a year. In addition, GOTS certification requires full transparency and certification of all links in the supply chain.

5.2 A system exists to allow purchasing, CSR and other relevant staff to share information with each other about working conditions at suppliers	with suppliers need to be able to share information in order to establish a coherent and effective strategy for improvements.	Internal information system; status CAPs, reports of meetings of purchasing/CSR; systematic way of storing information.	1	1	-1	
--	---	---	---	---	----	--

Comment: Since MADNESS consists of a small team, they share relevant information regarding sourcing and social compliance on a daily basis. All staff members have access to documentations and files.

INFORMATION MANAGEMENT

Possible Points: 7

6. TRANSPARENCY

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
6.1 Communication about FWF membership adheres to the FWF communications policy	Yes	FWF membership should be communicated in a clear and accurate manner. FWF guidelines are designed to prevent misleading claims.	Logo is placed on website; other communications in line with policy. Affiliates may lose points if there is evidence that they did not comply with the communications policy.	1	1	-2

Comment: MADNESS communicates about FWF on its website.

best practices with the industry. P A	one or more of the following on their website: Brand Performance Check, Audit Reports, Supplier List.			
--	---	--	--	--

Recommendation: FWF recommends MADNESS to publish one or more of the following reports on its website: brand performance check, audit reports, supplier information. Good reporting by members helps to ensure the transparency of the affiliate and FWF's work.

Comment: MADNESS has published its work plan for 2016, including its analysis of excessive overtime within the production process on the website.

published on affiliate's website		The Social Report is an important tool for affiliates to transparently share their efforts with stakeholders.	Report adheres to FWF guidelines for Social Report content.	2	2	-2
----------------------------------	--	---	---	---	---	----

Comment: Social report is published on the MADNESS website.

TRANSPARENCY

Possible Points: 4

7. EVALUATION

PERFORMANCE INDICATORS	RESULT	RELEVANCE OF INDICATOR	DOCUMENTATION	SCORE	MAX	MIN
7.1 Systemic annual evaluation of FWF membership is conducted with involvement of top management	Yes	An annual evaluation involving top management ensures that FWF policies are integrated into the structure of the company.	Meeting minutes, verbal reporting, Powerpoints, etc.	2	2	0

Comment: FWF membership is part of the annual budget discussions within the company.

7.2 Changes from previous Brand Performance Check implemented by affiliate 49%	In each Brand Performance Check report, FWF may include requirements for changes to management practices. Progress on achieving these requirements is an important part of FWF membership and its process approach.	Affiliate should show documentation related to the specific requirements made in the previous Brand Performance Check.	4	-2	
--	---	--	---	----	--

Comment: During the last brand performance check FWF made one requirement: MADNESS is expected to take an active role in discussing living wages with its suppliers using the FWF wage ladder. In 2015 MADNESS took the first steps in fulfilling this requirement by ensuring wage records are kept and shared and receiving information on local minimum wages. The next step is to verify these wage records (during the FWF verification audit) and using the FWF wage ladder information to establish a living wage.

EVALUATION

Possible Points: 6

RECOMMENDATIONS TO FWF

MADNESS is especially active in Germany and would be helped if they receive more information in German on FWF.

SCORING OVERVIEW

CATEGORY	EARNED	POSSIBLE
Purchasing Practices	16	34
Monitoring and Remediation	15	22
Complaints Handling	13	13
Training and Capacity Building	9	9
Information Management	7	7
Transparency	3	4
Evaluation	4	6
Totals:	67	95

BENCHMARKING SCORE (EARNED POINTS DIVIDED BY POSSIBLE POINTS)

71

PERFORMANCE BENCHMARKING CATEGORY

Good

BRAND PERFORMANCE CHECK DETAILS

Date of Brand Performance Check:

22-04-2016

Conducted by:

Anne van Lakerveld

Interviews with:

Peer Meyer (Project manager, CSR, sourcing)
Stephanie Rathjen (Accounting / Bookkeeping)
Meike Lorenzen (Garment Engineering / Technician)

Audit Summary:

Publication of the audit summary section previously included in Brand Performance Checks has been suspended while Fair Wear Foundation develops a new information system to manage and summarize the data.